

Permission to Appeal results – June 2020

Case name	Justices	PTA	Reasons given
R (on the application of “Monica”) (Appellant) v Director of Public Prosecutions (Respondent) UKSC 2019/0238 Neutral Citation No: [2018] EWHC 3508 Admin	Lady Black Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Sales	Refused 1 June 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because the Supreme Court does not have jurisdiction in this matter. None of the bases upon which jurisdiction is asserted are arguable. In particular, it is not arguable that this Court itself has jurisdiction to certify a point of law of general public importance.
Cosar (Appellant) v Governor of HMP Wandsworth and others (Respondents) UKSC 2020/0089 Neutral Citation No: [2020] EWHC Admin 1142	Lady Black Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Sales	Refused 2 June 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because in so far as the appeals relate to a decision of the High Court on a criminal application for habeas corpus, there is no arguable ground of appeal, because the detention of the Appellants was clearly authorised by the orders made by the relevant courts.
Verde (Appellant) v Governor of HMP Wandsworth and others (Respondents) UKSC 2020/0090 Neutral Citation No: [2020] EWHC Admin 1219	Lady Black Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Sales	Refused 2 June 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because in so far as the appeals relate to a decision of the High Court on a criminal application for habeas corpus, there is no arguable ground of appeal, because the detention of the Appellants was clearly authorised by the orders made by the relevant courts.
Infund LLP and another (Appellants) v Grupo Mexico SAB DE CV and another (Respondents) UKSC 2019/0220 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 1673	Lord Kerr Lady Arden Lord Hamblen	Granted in part 3 June 2020	The Court ordered that permission to appeal be granted Except 4 and 6 (consideration of various issues).
R (on the application of G) (AP) (Appellant) v Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) UKSC 2020/0017 Neutral Citation No: [2018] EWCA Civ 2493	Lady Black Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Sales	Refused 3 June 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law. Furthermore, the panel shares the doubts of the Court of Appeal as to whether G has standing to bring this appeal.
MH (AP) (Appellant) v Mental Health Tribunal for Scotland) (Respondent) (Scotland) UKSC 2019/0230 Neutral Citation No: [2019] CSIH 28	Lord Reed Lord Kitchin Lord Leggatt	Refused 5 June 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time. On the facts, the panel does not consider the complaint of unfairness to be arguable.
In the matter of an application by Anthony McDonnell for Judicial Review (AP) (Northern Ireland) UKSC 2019/0212 Neutral Citation No: [2019] NIQB 48	Lord Kerr Lady Arden Lord Hamblen	Refused 5 June 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise a point of law which should be considered by the Supreme Court at this time.

Enka Insaat Ve Sanayi A.S. (Respondent) <i>v</i> OOO Insurance Company Chubb (Appellant) UKSC 2020/0091 Neutral Citation No: [2020] EWCA Civ 574	Lady Black Lord Hamblen Lord Leggatt	Granted 5 June 2020	
Adekola (Appellant) <i>v</i> NHS England (Respondent) UKSC 2019/0229 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 1298	Lord Hodge Lady Black Lord Kitchin	Refused 9 June 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance.
Aldford House Freehold Ltd (Respondent) <i>v</i> K Group Holdings Inc (Appellant) UKSC 2019/0224 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 1848	Lord Kerr Lady Arden Lord Hamblen	Refused 9 June 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise a point of law which should be considered by the Supreme Court at this time.
London Borough of Brent (Respondent) <i>v</i> Alibkhiat (AP) (Appellant) UKSC 2019/0221 Neutral Citation No:[2018] EWCA Civ 2742	Lord Kerr Lady Arden Lord Hamblen	Refused 9 June 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise a point of law which should be considered by the Supreme Court at this time.
R (on the application of Langton) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs and another (Respondents) UKSC 2019/0205 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 1562 [2018] EWHC Admin 2190	Lord Kerr Lady Arden Lord Hamlen	Refused 9 June 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise a point of law which should be considered by the Supreme Court at this time.
McKay (AP) (Appellant) <i>v</i> The All England Lawn Tennis Club (Championships) Ltd and another (Respondents) UKSC 2020/0099 Neutral Citation No: [2020] EWCA Civ 695	Lord Reed Lord Briggs Lord Kitchin	Refused 12 June 2020	Permission to appeal be refused on the ground that the appeal does not raise an arguable question of law and the application for a stay is consequently dismissed.
R (on the application of the Executive Body of X) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Office for Standards in Education, Children's Services and Skills (Respondent) UKSC 2020/0096 Neutral Citation No: [2020] EWCA Civ 594	Lord Kerr Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Kitchin	Refused 15 June 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because: (1) This Court does not have jurisdiction to entertain an application for permission to appeal against the refusal of permission to apply for judicial review. The Court of Appeal which dealt with the appeal against the refusal of interim relief reconstituted itself as a Divisional Court and refused the application for permission to apply for judicial review. Flaux LJ refused permission to appeal against that decision and no appeal against that refusal may be entertained by the Supreme Court. (2) An application for interim relief must be made on foot of a valid pending suit before the court from which it is sought. Since the application for judicial review cannot proceed, there are no proceedings to which the application for interim relief may attach. It is not viable, therefore, and on

			that account it is refused. In any event, the application does not give rise to a point of law of public general importance and would have been refused on that ground also.
Harpur Trust (Appellant) <i>v</i> Brazel (Respondent) UKSC 2019/0209 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 1402	L Hodge Lady Black Lord Kitchin	Granted 19 June 2020	
Manchikalapati and others (Respondents) <i>v</i> East West Insurance Company Ltd (Appellant) UKC 2020/0001 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 2163	Lord Kerr Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Kitchin	Refused 23 June 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
R (on the application of SM (Rwanda)) (AP) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) UKSC 2020/0008 Neutral Citation No: [2018] EWCA Civ 2770	Lord Kerr Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Kitchin	Granted 23 June 2020	
L'Oreal (UK) Ltd and another (Appellants) <i>v</i> Liqwd Inc and another (Respondents) UKSC 2020/0003 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 1943	Lord Kerr Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Kitchin	Refused 23 June 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
Hussein (Appellant) <i>v</i> Mahmoud and others (Respondents) UKSC 2020/0106 Neutral Citation No: [2020] EWCA Civ 637	Lord Kerr Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Kitchin	Refused 23 June 2020	Permission to appeal be refused. Although this case involves a point of law of general public importance – indeed one of intense importance and interest – the Court considers that the Court of Appeal was plainly right on both grounds which were the subject of the application for permission and, on that account, it is not appropriate to give permission to appeal.
Kahrmann (as Administratrix of the Estate of Rainer Christian Kahrmann) (Respondent) <i>v</i> Harrison-Morgan (Appellant) UKSC 2020/0009 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 2094	Lord Hodge Lady Arden Lord Sales	Refused	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance.
Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) <i>v</i> MA (Somalia) (AP) (Appellant) UKSC 2020/0006 Neutral Citation No: [2018] EWCA Civ 994 Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) <i>v</i>	Lord Reed Lord Briggs Lord Burrows	Refused 30 June 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because the applications do not raise an arguable question of law.

MS (Somalia) (AP) (Appellant) UKSC 2020/0012 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 1345			
Cornerstone Telecommunications Infrastructure Ltd (Appellant) v Compton Beauchamp Estates Ltd (Respondent) UKSC 2019/0225 Neutral Citation No: 2019] EWCA Civ 1755	Lord Reed Lord Briggs Lord Burrows	Granted 30 June 2020	
East Bergholt Parish Council (Appellant) v Babergh District Council (Respondent) UKSC 2020/0024 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 2200	Lord Hodge Lady Arden Lord Sales	Refused 30 June 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance.