Permission to Appeal results – April 2020

Case name	Justices	PTA	Reasons given
Genentech Inc (Appellant) *v* Comptroller General of Patents (Respondent) UKSC 2020/0064 Neutral Citation No: [2020] EWCA Civ 475	Lord Reed Lord Hodge Lord Kitchin	Refused 1 April 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable question of law . The application for interim relief be refused.
Abbhi (Appellant) v Slade (Respondent) UKSC 2020/0016 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 2175	Lord Wilson Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Hamblen	Refused 1 April 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
Moher (Appellant) v Moher (Respondent) UKSC 2019/0194 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 1482	Lord Wilson Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Hamblen	Refused 1 April 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
Ali (AP) (Appellant) v Serco Group Plc and others (Respondents) (Scotland) UKW 2020/0037 Neutral Citation No: [2019] CSIH 54 [2019] CSOH 34	Lord Reed Lord Briggs Lord Sales	Refused 3 April 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law. The application to intervene is therefore also refused.
JSC Commercial Bank Privatbank (Respondent) v Kolomoisky (Appellant) UKSC 2019/0217 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 1708 JSC Commercial Bank Privatbank (Respondent) v Teamtrend Ltd and others (Appellants) UKSC 2019/0218 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 1708 JSC Commercial Bank Privatbank (Respondent) v Bogolyubov (Appellant) UKSC 2019/0219 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 1708	Lord Reed Lord Briggs Lord Sales	Refused 6 April 2020	Permission to appeal be refused in respect of each application because the applications do not raise an arguable point of law which ought to be considered at this time.

Her Majesty's Advocate (Respondent) v Ashraf (Appellant) (Scotland) UKSC 2019/0146 Neutral Citation No: [2018] CSIH 75	Lord Reed Lord Briggs Lord Sales	Refused 6 April 2020	Permission to appeal be REFUSED the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
Hallett (Respondent) v Derby Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust (Appellant) UKSC 2019/0211 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 1394	Lord Reed Lord Briggs Lord Sales	Refused 6 April 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
MDA (by the Official Solicitor) (AP) (Appellant) v Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) UKSC 2019/0197 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 1239 R (on the application of ASK) (AP) (Appellant) v Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) UKSC 2020/0199 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 1239	Lord Reed Lord Briggs Lord Sales	Refused 8 April 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because the applications do not raise an arguable point of law. So far as reliance is placed on the grant of permission to appeal in the case of VC, the panel consider that the factual circumstances of the present case are materially different.
R (on the application of Kenyon) (Appellant) v Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) UKSC 2019/0187 Neutral Citation No:	Lord Reed Lord Briggs Lord Sales	Refused 20 April 2020	Permission be refused because the court does not have jurisdiction to hear the appeal. The relevant appeal route would be to the Court of Appeal (Criminal Division). The panel does not consider that the appeal raises an arguable point of law in any event.
R (on the application of Locke) (Respondent) v Commissioners for Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs (Appellant) UKSC 2019/0236 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 1909	Lord Hodge Lady Black Lord Kitchin	Refused 22 April 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
Leon (Appellant) v Her Majesty's Attorney General and others (Respondents) UKSC 2020/0007 Neutral Citation No: [2019] EWCA Civ 2047	Lord Hodge Lady Black Lord Kitchin	Refused 22 April 2020	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.