Permission to Appeal results – December 2014

Case name	Justices	PTA	Reasons given
Abd Ali Hameed Al Waheed (Appellant) v	Lord Neuberger	Granted	
Ministry of Defence (Respondent)	Lord Wilson	8 Dec 2014	
Case No: UKSC 2014/0219	Lord Hodge		
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWHC 2714			
IR (Morocco) (Appellant) v	Lord Neuberger	Refused	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable
Secretary of State for the Home Department	Lord Wilson	8 Dec 2014	point of law.
(Respondent)	Lord Hodge		
Case No: UKSC 2014/0226			
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 966			
CM (Zimbabwe) (AP) (Appellant) v	Lord Neuberger	Refused	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable
Secretary of State for the Home Department	Lord Wilson	8 Dec 2014	point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the
(Respondent)	Lord Hodge		Supreme Court at this time.
Case No: UKSC 2014/0197			
Neutral Citation No: [2013] EWCA Civ 1303			
Mohamed and another (AP) (Respondents) v	Lord Neuberger	Granted	
Secretary of State for the Home Department	Lord Wilson	8 Dec 2014	
(Appellant)	Lord Hodge		
Case No: UKSC 2014/0232			
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 559			
The Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime	Lord Neuberger	Granted in	
(Appellant) v	Lord Wilson	part	
Mitsui Sumitomo Insurance Co (Europe) Ltd	Lord Hodge	9 Dec 2014	
and others (Respondents)			
Case No: UKSC 2014/0165			
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 682			
McDonald (by her litigation friend Duncan J	Lord Neuberger	Granted	
McDonald) (Appellant) v	Lord Wilson	9 Dec 2014	
McDonald and others (Respondents)	Lord Hodge		
Case No: UKSC 2014/0234			
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1049			
R (on the application of Baradaran) v	Lady Hale	Refused	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable
Secretary of State for the Home Department	Lord Carnwath	9 Dec 2014	point of law. The law is well settled and the Court of Appeal has applied it. The
(Respondent)	Lord Toulson		dispute in any event is academic in this case.
Case No: UKSC 2014/0193			
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 854			

Singh (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0188 Neutral Citation No: None given	Lady Hale Lord Carnwath Lord Toulson	Refused 9 Dec 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
R (on the application of C) (Appellant) <i>v</i> The Secretary of State for Justice (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0210	Lady Hale Lord Carnwath Lord Toulson	Granted 9 Dec 2014	
Neutral Citation No: None given R (on the application of O) (by her litigation friend the Official Solicitor) (AP) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0227 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 990	Lady Hale Lord Carnwath Lord Toulson	Granted 9 Dec 2014	
OPO (by his litigation friend BHM) and another (Respondents) <i>v</i> MLA (Appellant) Case No: UKSC 2014/0251 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1277	Lady Hale Lord Carnwath Lord Toulson	Granted 9 Dec 2014	
AA (Iran) (AP) (Appellant) v Upper Tribunal (Immigration and Asylum Chamber) and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/0178 Neutral Citation No: [2013] EWCA Civ 1523	Lady Hale Lord Carnwath Lord Toulson	Refused 11 Dec 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law. The factual findings of the First-tier Tribunal are decisive in this case.
Powlesland (Appellant) <i>v</i> Director of Public Prosecution (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0083 Neutral Citation No: [2013] EWCH 3864	Lord Kerr Lord Clarke Lord Hughes	Refused 8 Dec 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
Morton (Appellant) <i>v</i> Armagh City and District Council (Respondent) (Northern Ireland) Case No: UKSC 2014/0194 Neutral Citation No: None given	Lord Kerr Lord Clarke Lord Hughes	Refused 8 Dec 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal
R v Bradley (Appellant) (Northern Ireland) Case No: UKSC 2014/0205 Neutral Citation No: [2013] NICA 36	Lord Kerr Lord Clarke Lord Hughes	Refused 8 Dec 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.

Daly (AP) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Breslin and others (AP) (Respondent) (Northern Ireland) Case No: UKSC 2014/0167 Neutral Citation No: [2013] NICA 75 Murphy (AP) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Breslin (AP) (Respondent) and others (Northern Ireland) Case No: UKSC 2014/0168 Neutral Citation No: [2013] NICA 75	Lord Kerr Lord Clarke Lord Hughes	Refused 9 Dec 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the applications do not raise a point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time.
CW (Jamaica) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0174 Neutral Citation No: [2013] EWCA Civ 915	Lady Hale Lord Carnwath Lord Toulson	Refused 10 Dec 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law. The law is well settled and the Upper Tribunal and the Court of Appeal have applied it.
Macklin (Appellant) <i>v</i> Her Majesty's Advocate (Respondent) (Scotland) Case No: UKSC 2014/0173 Neutral Citation No: [2013] HCJAC 41	Lord Neuberger Lady Hale Lord Reed	Granted 11 Dec 2014	
In the matter of an application by Denise Brewster for Judicial Review (Northern Ireland) Case No: UKSC 2014/0180 Neutral Citation No: [2013] NICA 54	Lord Kerr Lord Clarke Lord Hughes	Granted 11 Dec 2014	
In the matter of an application by Robert McAuley (AP) for Judicial Review (Northern Ireland) Case No: UKSC 2014/0198 Neutral Citation No: [2014] NIQB 31	Lord Kerr Lord Clarke Lord Hughes	Refused 11 Dec 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
R (on the application of An Taisce, The National Trust for Ireland) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for Energy and Climate Change and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/0250 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1111	Lord Kerr Lord Carnwath Lord Hodge	Refused 11 Dec 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
Asda Stores Limited (Appellant) <i>v</i> Commissioners of Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0136	Lord Kerr Lord Carnwath Lord Hodge	Refused 11 Dec 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.

Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 317			
R (on the application of Roberts) (Appellant) v	Lord Kerr	Granted	
Commissioner of Police for the Metropolis and	Lord Carnwath	11 Dec 2014	
another (Respondents)	Lord Hodge		
Case No: UKSC 2014/0138	8		
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 69			
R v	Lord Kerr	Refused	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable
Walker & Son (Hauliers) Limited (Appellant)	Lord Carnwath	11 Dec 2014	point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the
Case No: UKSC 2014/0154	Lord Hodge		Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Crim 100			subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
Singh (Appellant) v	Lord Kerr	Refused	Permission to appeal be refused because the applications do not raise an arguable
Secretary of State for the Home Department	Lord Carnwath	11 Dec 2014	point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the
(Respondent)	Lord Hodge		Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the cases have already been the
UKSC 2014/0144			subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 438			, , ,
Sarkar (Appellant) v			
The Secretary of State for the Home			
Department (Respondent)			
Case No: UKSC 2014/0146			
Neutral Citation No: [2013] EWCA Civ 195			
Lynn Shellfish Ltd and others (Appellants) v	Lord Kerr	Granted	
Loose and another (Respondents)	Lord Carnwath	11 Dec 2014	
Case No: UKSC 2014/0191	Lord Hodge		
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 846			
Interfish Limited (Appellant) v	Lord Kerr	Refused	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable
Commissioners for Her Majesty's Revenue and	Lord Carnwath	11 Dec 2014	point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the
Customs (Respondent)	Lord Hodge		Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the
Case No: UKSC 2014/0196			subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 876			
Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs	Lord Kerr	Refused	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable
(Respondent) v	Lord Carnwath	11 Dec 2014	point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the
British Telecommunications Plc (Appellant)	Lord Hodge		Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the
Case No: UKSC 2014/0145			subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 433			
Shop Direct Group (Appellant) v	Lady Hale	Granted in	
The Commissioners of Her Majesty's Revenue	Lord Carnwath	part	
and Customs (Respondent)	Lord Toulson	15 Dec 2014	
Case No: UKSC 2014/0110			
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 255			

Mirza (Appellant) Lord Reed Lord Sumption Case No: UKSC 2014/0218 Lord Sumption In June 2014 Pountal Citation No: [2014] EWCA Cav 1047 Lord Mance 15 Dec 2014 Bask of Scotland PLC (Respondent) Lord Reed 15 Dec 2014 Case No: UKSC 2014/0222 Lord Sumption Lord Mance Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Cav 1107 Lord Mance Lord Mance G4S Care and Justice Services (UK) Limited and another (Respondents) Lord Sumption 15 Dec 2014 Case No: UKSC 2014/0707 Lord Mance Lord Mance Lord Sumption Auglo American South Africa Ltd and another (Respondents) Lord Sumption Refused 16 Dec 2014 Case No: UKSC 2014/0221 Lord Sumption 16 Dec 2014 Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Young r Lord Mance Lord Mance Lord Mance Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of gener	Patel (Respondent) v	Lord Mance	Granted	
Case No. UKSC 2014/0218 Lord Sumption	` ' '			
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1047 R (on the application of Solomon Smith) (AP) (Appellant) P (Asc Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/0122 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 105 Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/0170 Lord Mance Anglo American South Africa Ltd and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/0170 R v William (Appellant) P Case No: UKSC 2014/0045 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1130 Refed Lord Mance Lord Sumption Refused 16 Dec 2014 Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused becau			10 200 2011	
Tidal Energy Limited (Appellant) ** Bank of Scotland PLC (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/Q022 Neutral Citation No: 2014 EWCA Civ 1107 R (on the application of Solomon Smith) (AP) (Appellant) ** Case No: UKSC 2014/Q170 Neutral Citation No: 2014 EWCA Civ 380 Young ** Anglo American South Africa Ltd and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/Q170 Neutral Citation No: 2014 EWCA Civ 1130 R ** William (Appellant) ** Case No: UKSC 2014/Q170 Neutral Citation No: 2014 EWCA Civ 1130 R ** William (Appellant) ** Case No: UKSC 2014/Q170 Neutral Citation No: 2014 EWCA Civ 1130 R ** William (Appellant) ** Case No: UKSC 2014/Q170 Neutral Citation No: 2014 EWCA Civ 1130 R ** Case No: UKSC 2014/Q170 Case No:	· ·	Lord Samption		
Bank of Scotland PLC (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0222 R (on the application of Solomon Smith) (AP) (Appellant) P R (on the application of Solomon Smith) (AP) (Appellant) P R (on the application of Solomon Smith) (AP) (Appellant) P R (on the application of Solomon Smith) (AP) (Appellant) P R (on the application of Solomon Smith) (AP) (Appellant) P R (on the application of Solomon Smith) (AP) (Appellant) P R (on the application of Solomon Smith) (AP) (Appellant) P R (on the application of Solomon Smith) (AP) (Appellant) P R (on the application of Solomon Smith) (AP) (Appellant) P R (on the application of Solomon Smith) (AP) (Appellant) P R (on the application of Solomon Smith) (AP) (Appellant) Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 380 Lord Mance Lord Sumption Asse No: UKSC 2014/0045 Neutral Citation No: None given West and another (Appellant) P Refused In Finlay & Associates (a Firm) (Respondent) Sase No: UKSC 2014/0140 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) P Sumption Sumption Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) P Sumption Sumption Case No: UKSC 2014/0124 Anselm (Appellant) P Sumption Sumption Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) P Sumption Sumption Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) P Sumption Sumption Case No: UKSC 2014/0124 Anselm (Appellant) P Sumption Case No: UKSC 2014/0124 Anselm (Appellant) Case No: UKSC 2014/0	. ,	Lord Mance	Granted	
Case No: UKSC 2014/022 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1107 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1107 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1107 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 130 Neutral Citation No: Nonce given West and another (Appellant) Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 130 Neutral Citation No: Nonce given West and another (Appellant) Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1310 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1310 Neutral Citation No: Nonce given West and another (Appellant) Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1310 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1310 Neutral Citation No: Nonce given West and another (Appellant) Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) Neutral Citation No: [2014] EW	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \			
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1107 R (on the application of Solomon Smith) (AP) (Appellant) v Gase No: UKSC 2014/0170 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 380 Young v Anglo American South Africa Ltd and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/0221 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1130 Refused Lord Mance Lord Sumption Refused 16 Dec 2014 16 Dec 2014 Refused 16 De			13 Dec 2011	
R (on the application of Solomon Smith) (AP) (Appellant) r G4S Care and Justice Services (UK) Limited and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/0170 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 380 R r Refused Lord Mance (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/0221 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1130 R r Ruillam (Appellant) Case No: UKSC 2014/045 West and another (Appellants) r Neutral Citation No: None given West and another (Appellants) r Suerial Citation No: Possible for the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable poi	·	Lord Samption		
(Appellant) r G4S Care and Justice Services (UK) Limited and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/0170 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 380 R r R r R Case No: UKSC 2014/0221 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1130 R r R r Case No: UKSC 2014/0221 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1130 R r Case No: UKSC 2014/0404 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1130 R r Case No: UKSC 2014/0404 Neutral Citation No: None given Lord Mance Lord Mance Lord Mance Lord Sumption Refused 16 Dec 2014 16 Dec 20		Lord Mance	Refused	Dermission to appeal he refused because the application does not raise an arguable
G4S Care and Justice Services (UK) Limited and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/0170 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 380 Young v Anglo American South Africa Ltd and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/0221 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1130 R v William (Appellant) Neutral Citation No: None given Lord Sumption Refused West and another (Appellants) v Lady Hale Ian Finlay & Associates (a Firm) (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Refused Ian Finlay & Associates (a Firm) (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Refused Ian Finlay & Associates (a Firm) (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Refused Ian Finlay & Associates (a Firm) (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Refused Ian Finlay & Associates (a Firm) (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Refused Ian Finlay & Associates (a Firm) (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Refused Ian Finlay & Associates (a Firm) (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Refused Ian Finlay & Associates (a Firm) (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Refused Ian Finlay & Associates (a Firm) (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Refused Ian Finlay & Associates (a Firm) (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Refused Ian Finlay & Associates (a Firm) (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Refused Ian Finlay & Associates (a Firm) (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Refused Ian Finlay & Associates (a Firm) (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Refused Ian Finlay & Associates (a Firm) (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Refused Ian Finlay & Associates (a Firm) (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Refused Ian Finlay & Associates (a Firm) (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Refused Ian Finlay & Associates (a Firm) (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Refused Ian Finlay & Associates (a Firm) (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Refused Ian Finlay & Associates (a Firm) (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Refused Ian Finlay & Associates (a Firm) (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Refused Ian Finlay & Associates (a Firm) (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Refus				
another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/0170 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Giv 380 Voung v Anglo American South Africa Ltd and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/0221 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Giv 1130 Rev William (Appellant) Case No: UKSC 2014/0045 Neutral Citation No: None given West and another (Appellants) v Lady Hale Ian Finlay & Associates (a Firm) (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1130 Refused I.ord Sumption Refused I.ord Sumption Refused I.ord Sumption Refused I.ord Sumption Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Neutral Citation No: Pormission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise a point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise a point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance.			13 Dec 2014	
Case No: UKSC 2014/0170 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 380 Young p Anglo American South Africa Ltd and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/0221 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1130 R p William (Appellant) Neutral Citation No: None given Lord Mance Lord Mance Lord Mance West and another (Appellants) p Ian Finlay & Associates (a Firm) (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) p Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Lord Mance Lord Mance Lord Mance Lord Mance Lord Mance Lord Sumption Lord Hughes Refused 22 Dec 2014 Refused 22 Dec 2014 Refused 22 Dec 2014 Refused 22 Dec 2014 Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise a point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal. The application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Refused 22 Dec 2014 Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable because there is no basis for granting permission to appeal. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance.	` '	Lord Sumption		
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 380 Young v Anglo American South Africa Ltd and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC2014/0221 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1130 R v William (Appellant) Case No: UKSC 2014/0045 Neutral Citation No: None given West and another (Appellants) v Lord Sumption Neutral Citation No: None given West and another (Appellants) v Lord Sumption Lord Bumption Lord Bumption Neutral Citation No: 2014 EWCA Civ 316 Refused Lord Sumption Lord Sumption Neutral Citation No: None given West and another (Appellants) v Lord Sumption Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Neutral Citation No: 2014 EWCA Civ 316 Refused Lord Sumption Lord Hughes Refused 22 Dec 2014 Refused Lord Mance Lord Sumption Lord Hughes Refused 22 Dec 2014 Refused Lord Mance Lord Mance Lord Sumption Lord Hughes Refused Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise a point of law of general public importance. which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal. The application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance.	` ' '			subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appear
Young v Anglo American South Africa Ltd and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC2014/0221 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1130 R v William (Appellant) Case No: UKSC 2014/0045 Neutral Citation No: None given West and another (Appellants) v Lady Hale Ian Finlay & Associates (a Firm) (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) v Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) v Lord Mance Lord Sumption Lord Hughes Refused 16 Dec 2014 Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise a point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal. The application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance.	·			
Anglo American South Africa Ltd and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC2014/0221 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1130 R v William (Appellant) Case No: UKSC 2014/0045 Neutral Citation No: None given West and another (Appellants) v Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 West and another (Appellants) v Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 West and another (Appellants) v Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Anselm (Appellant) v Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) v Buckle and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/0124 Lord Mance Lord Mance Lord Sumption Refused 22 Dec 2014 Anselm (Appellant) v Buckle and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/0124 Lord Wilson Lord Toulson Lord Mance 22 Dec 2014 Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and		Lord Mance	Refused	Dermission to appeal he refused because the application does not raise an arguable
(Respondents) Case No: UKSC2014/0221 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1130 R r William (Appellant) Case No: UKSC 2014/0045 Neutral Citation No: None given West and another (Appellants) r Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) r Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) r Sumption Lord Sumption Lord Mance Lord Mance Lord Sumption Refused 16 Dec 2014 17 Dec 2014 18 Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise a point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal. The application for an extension of time is refused because there is no basis for granting permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e			
Case No: UKSC2014/0221 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1130 R v William (Appellant) Case No: UKSC 2014/0045 Neutral Citation No: None given West and another (Appellants) v Lan Finlay & Associates (a Firm) (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) v Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) v Lord Mance Lord Mance Lord Sumption Refused 16 Dec 2014	e e		10 Dec 2014	point of law of general public importance.
Refused William (Appellant) Case No: UKSC 2014/0045 West and another (Appellants) v Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Lord Sumption Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 West and another (Appellants) v Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Lord Sumption Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 West and another (Appellants) v Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Lord Sumption Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) v Case No: UKSC 2014/0124 Lord Mance Buckle and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/0124 Lord Toulson Lord Toulson Lord Toulson Lord Mance Lord Toulson Lord Toulson Lord Mance Lord Toulson Lord Mance Lord Mance Lord Mance Lord Toulson Lord Mance Lord Mance Lord Mance Lord Mance Lord Wilson Lord Toulson Lord Toulson Lord Mance Lord Toulson Lord Toulson Lord Mance Lord Sumption Lord Mance Lord Mance Lord Mance Lord Wilson Lord Toulson Lord Wilson Lord Toulson Lord Toulson Lord Toulson Lord Mance Lord Wilson Lord Toulson Lord Toulson Lord Mance Lord Sumption Lord Hughes Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and		Lord Sumption		
Refused William (Appellant) Case No: UKSC 2014/0045 Neutral Citation No: None given West and another (Appellant) Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 West and another (Appellant) v Lord Sumption Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Lord Sumption Lady Hale Lord Sumption Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Lord Sumption Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Anselm (Appellant) v Lord Mance Buckle and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/0124 Lord Mance Case No: UKSC 2014/0124 Lord Mance Buckle and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/0124 Lord Toulson Lord Mance Lord Mance Lord Mance Lord Toulson Refused 16 Dec 2014 16 Dec	·			
William (Appellant) Case No: UKSC 2014/0045 West and another (Appellants) v Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Lord Sumption West and another (Appellants) v Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Anselm (Appellant) v Case No: UKSC 2014/0124 Lord Sumption Lord Reed Lord Sumption Lord Sumption Refused 22 Dec 2014 Refused 22 Dec 2014 An Sefused Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Lord Mance Case No: UKSC 2014/0124 Lord Mance Case No: UKSC 2014/0124 Lord Mance Case No: UKSC 2014/0124 Lord Toulson Lord Reed Lord Reed Lord Sumption Lord Sumpti	. ,	Lord Mance	Refused	Dermission to appeal he refused because the application does not raise a point of law
Case No: UKSC 2014/0045 Neutral Citation No: None given West and another (Appellants) v Lady Hale In Finlay & Associates (a Firm) (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) v Buckle and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/0124 Lord Sumption Lord Mance Buckle and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/0124 Lord Sumption Lord				
Neutral Citation No: None given West and another (Appellants) v Lady Hale Ian Finlay & Associates (a Firm) (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) v Lord Mance Buckle and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/0124 Lord Toulson decision and reviewed on appeal. The application for an extension of time is refused because there is no basis for granting permission to appeal. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and			10 Dec 2014	
West and another (Appellants) v Lady Hale In Finlay & Associates (a Firm) (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Anselm (Appellant) v Buckle and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/0124 Lord Wilson Case No: UKSC 2014/0124 Lord Toulson because there is no basis for granting permission to appeal. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and	· ·	Lord Sumption		
West and another (Appellants) v I Lady Hale I Lord Sumption Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Anselm (Appellant) v Buckle and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/0124 Lord Mance Buckle and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/0124 Lord Mance Buckle and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/0124 Lord Toulson Refused Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and	iveutiai Citation ivo. Ivone given			
Ian Finlay & Associates (a Firm) (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Lord Hughes Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) v Buckle and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/0124 Lord Wilson Lord Toulson Lord Sumption Lord Sumption Lord Hughes Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and	West and another (Appellants) v	Lady Hale	Refused	
Case No: UKSC 2014/0140 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) v Lord Mance Buckle and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/0124 Lord Wilson Lord Toulson Lord Hughes Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and	` 11 /	,		
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 316 Anselm (Appellant) v Lord Mance Buckle and another (Respondents) Lord Wilson Case No: UKSC 2014/0124 Lord Toulson Lord Toulson Refused Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and		-	22 DCC 2014	point of law of general public importance.
Anselm (Appellant) v Lord Mance Buckle and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/0124 Lord Mance Lord Mance Lord Wilson Lord Toulson Lord Toulson Refused Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and	· ·	Lord Trugiles		
Buckle and another (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/0124 Lord Wilson Lord Toulson Lord Wilson Lord Toulson Lord Wilson Lord Toulson		Lord Mance	Refused	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable
Case No: UKSC 2014/0124 Lord Toulson bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and				11
	\ 1 /		10 Dec 2011	, ,
Neutral Citation No. 170141 E.W.C.A. Civ. 511	Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 311	12014 10410011		reviewed on appeal.
Barclays Bank plc (Respondent) v Lord Mance Refused Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable		Lord Mance	Refused	
Unicredit Bank AG (formerly known as Lord Wilson Lord Wilson Lord Wilson Lord Wilson Lord Wilson 16 Dec 2014 point of law which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time				
Bayerische Hypo-Und Vereinsbank) & anr Lord Toulson Lord Toulson Lord Toulson Lord Toulson			10 15 00 2011	
(Appellants) reviewed on appeal.	,	2014 10410011		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Case No: UKSC 2014/0127				10.10.10d off appear
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 302	·			
R (on the application of Nouazli) (Appellant) v Lord Mance Granted	L J	Lord Mance	Granted	

Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0139 Neutral Citation No: [2013] EWCA Civ 1608	Lord Wilson Lord Toulson	16 Dec 2014	
Akzo Nobel NV (Appellant) <i>v</i> Competition Commission & others (Respondents) Case No: UKSC 2014/0149 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 482	Lord Mance Lord Wilson Lord Toulson	Refused 16 Dec 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
Asset Land Investment Plc and another (Appellants) <i>v</i> The Financial Conduct Authority (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0150 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 435	Lord Mance Lord Wilson Lord Toulson	Granted 16 Dec 2014	
Joyce (Appellant) <i>v</i> Darby & Darby (a firm) (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0171 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 677	Lord Mance Lord Wilson Lord Toulson	Refused 16 Dec 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
R (on the application of United Company Rusal plc) (Appellant) v The London Metal Exchange (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0246 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1271	Lord Neuberger Lord Clarke Lord Reed	Refused 17 Dec 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
The Commissioners of Her Majesty's Revenue & Customs (Appellant) v The Executors of Lord Howard of Henderskelefe (Deceased) (Respondent) Case No: UKSC 2014/0123 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 278	Lord Neuberger Lord Clarke Lord Reed	Refused 17 Dec 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
Varsani (Appellant) <i>v</i> Relfo Limited (In Liquidation) (Respondent) UKSC 2014/0135 Neutral Citation No: [2010] EWCA Civ 560	Lord Neuberger Lord Clarke Lord Reed	Refused 17 Dec 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
Friends Life Limited (Respondent) <i>v</i> Siemens Hearing Instruments Ltd (Appellant) Case No: UKSC 2014/0141 Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 382	Lord Neuberger Lord Clarke Lord Reed	Refused 17 Dec 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
PMS International Limited (Respondent) <i>v</i> Magmatic Limited (Appellant)	Lord Neuberger Lord Clarke	Granted 17 Dec 2014	

Case No: UKSC 2014/0147	Lord Reed		
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 408			
Sub One Limited t/a Subway (In Liquidation)	Lord Neuberger	Refused	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable
(Appellant) v	Lord Clarke	17 Dec 2014	point of law. In relation to the point of European Union law said to be raised by or
The Commissioners for Her Majesty's Revenue	Lord Reed		in response to the application it is not necessary to request the Court of Justice to
and Customs (Respondent)			give any ruling, because the Court's existing jurisprudence already provides a
Case No: UKSC 2014/0186			sufficient answer
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 773			
UBS AG (Respondent) v	Lord Neuberger	Granted	
The Commissioners for Her Majesty's Revenue	Lord Clarke	17 Dec 2014	
and Customs (Appellant)	Lord Reed		
Case No: UKSC 2014/0151			
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 452			
DB Group Services (UK) Ltd (Respondent) v			
The Commissioner for Her Majesty's Revenue			
and Customs (Appellant)			
Case No: UKSC 2014/0152			
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 452			
NYK Bulkship (Atlantic) N.V (Respondent) v	Lord Neuberger	Granted	
Cargill International S.A (Appellant)	Lord Clarke	22 Dec 2014	
Case No: UKSC 2014/0143	Lord Reed		
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 403			
R (on the application of MA and others) (AP)	Lord Neuberger	Granted	
(Appellants) v	Lady Hale	22 Dec 2014	
Secretary of State for Work and Pensions	Lord Mance		
(Respondent)			
Case No: UKSC 2014/0129			
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 13			
R (on the application of MA and others)			
(Appellants) v			
Secretary of State for Work and Pensions			
(Respondent)			
Case No: UKSC 2014/0125			
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 13			
Belhaj and another (Respondents) v	Lord Neuberger	Granted	
Straw and others (Appellants)	Lady Hale	22 Dec 2014	
Case No: UKSC 2014/0264	Lord Mance		

Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1394			
Otkritie International Investment Management	Lord Neuberger	Refused	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable
Limited and others (Respondents) v	Lady Hale	22 Dec 2014	point of law. This was an application of well established principles to a particular
Urumov (Appellant)	Lord Mance		factual situation.
Case No. UKSC 2014/0249			
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1315			
Tchengiuz and another (Appellant) v	Lord Neuberger	Refused	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable
Director of the Serious Fraud Office	Lady Hale	22 Dec 2014	point of law.
(Respondent)	Lord Mance		
Case No: UKSC 2014/0268			
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 1409			
R (on the application of Khan) v	Lady Hale	Refused	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable
Secretary of State for the Home Department	Lord Sumption	22 Dec 2014	point of law.
(Respondent)	Lord Hughes		
Case No: UKSC 2014/0122			
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 88			
AKJ and others (Appellant) v	Lady Hale	Refused	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable
Commissioner of the Police of Metropolis and	Lord Sumption	22 Dec 2014	point of law. The point of jurisdiction raises no arguable point of law.
another (Respondents)	Lord Hughes		
Case No: UKSC 2014/0128			
Neutral Citation No: [2013] EWCA Civ 1342			
R (on the application of Dennis) (AP)	Lady Hale	Refused	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise a point of law
(Appellant) v	Lord Sumption	22 Dec 2014	which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time. This is not the
The Secretary of State for Justice (Respondent)	Lord Hughes		right case in which to consider the general question of the principles governing the
Case No: UKSC 2014/0132			re-opening of past administrative decisions if the understanding of the law changes.
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 194			
SXH (Appellant) v	Lady Hale	Granted	
The Crown Prosecution Service (Respondent)	Lord Sumption	22 Dec 2014	
Case No: UKSC 2014/0148	Lord Hughes		
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 90			
Collins (Appellant) v	Lady Hale	Refused	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable
Secretary of State for Business Innovation &	Lord Sumption	22 Dec 2014	point of law.
Skills and another (Respondents)	Lord Hughes		
Case No: UKSC 2014/0169			
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 717	T 1 TT 1	D C 1	
Liddington & Others (Appellant) v	Lady Hale	Refused	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable
Webster & Others (Respondent)	Lord Sumption	22 Dec 2014	point of law. This is the application of settled law to particular facts.
Case No: UKSC 2014/0181	Lord Hughes		
Neutral Citation No: [2014] EWCA Civ 560			