

Permission to Appeal results – June to July 2014 | The Supreme Court of the United Kingdom

Case name	Justices	PTA	Reasons given
John Mander Pension Scheme Trustees Limited (Appellant) <i>v</i> The Commissioners for Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs (Respondent) UKSC 2014/0052	Lord Neuberger Lord Carnwath Lord Hughes	Granted 9 July 2014	
Youssef (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs and Another (Respondents) UKSC 2014/0028	Lord Neuberger Lord Carnwath Lord Hughes	Granted 9 July 2014	
SAS Institute Inc (Appellant) <i>v</i> World Programming Limited (Respondent) UKSC 2013/0278	Lord Neuberger Lord Carnwath Lord Hughes	Refused 9 July 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
Lie (Appellant) <i>v</i> Mohile (Respondent) UKSC 2013/0155	Lord Neuberger Lord Carnwath Lord Hughes	Refused 9 July 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time.
Reed Employment Limited (Appellant) <i>v</i> Commissioners for Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs (Respondent) UKSC 2014/0060	Lord Neuberger Lord Carnwath Lord Hughes	Refused 9 July 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
Bank of Scotland plc (Respondent) <i>v</i> Lyons (Appellant) UKSC 2014/0053	Lord Neuberger Lord Carnwath Lord Hughes	Refused 9 July 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
Hospira UK Limited and another (Respondents) <i>v</i> Novartis AG (A Company Incorporated in Switzerland) (Appellant) UKSC 2014/0032	Lord Neuberger Lord Reed Lord Toulson	Refused 10 July 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time.
In the matter of KP (A Child) UKSC 2014/0160	Lady Hale Lord Wilson Lord Toulson	Refused 9 July 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law. This is a matter of practice which is primarily for the trial Judges to determine and on which thinking is still developing and therefore not suitable for consideration by this Court.

In the matter of B (Children) UKSC 2014/0126	Lady Hale Lord Wilson Lord Toulson	Refused 9 July 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
Zulhayir (Appellant) <i>v</i> JJ Food Service Limited (Respondent) UKSC 2014/0051	Lady Hale Lord Wilson Lord Toulson	Refused 9 July 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
MBA (Appellant) <i>v</i> The Mayor and Burgesses of the London Borough of Merton (Respondent) UKSC 2014/0031	Lady Hale Lord Wilson Lord Toulson	Refused 10 July 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind the facts as found by the Tribunal.
PO (Democratic Republic of Congo) & another (by their Grandmother and Litigation Friend JN)(Appellants) <i>v</i> Entry Clearance Officer, Nairobi (Respondent) UKSC 2014/0117	Lady Hale Lord Wilson Lord Toulson	Refused 10 July 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
Coles and Others (Appellant) <i>v</i> Hetherington and Others (Respondents) UKSC 2014/0027	Lord Mance Lord Sumption Lord Hodge	Refused 9 July 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law, bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
In the matter of an application by Fitzpatrick (AP) for Judicial Review (Northern Ireland) UKSC 2014/0042 In the matter of an application by Shiels (AP) for Judicial Review (Northern Ireland) UKSC 2014/0046	Lord Kerr Lord Clarke Lord Reed	Refused 10 July 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal. In relation to the point of European Union law said to be raised by or in response to the application it is not necessary to request the Court of Justice to give any ruling, because all points have already been decided and there are no new issues.
R <i>v</i> Robinson-Pierre (Respondent) UKSC 2014/0034	Lord Kerr Lord Clarke Lord Reed	Refused 10 July 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal. In light of <i>Hughes</i> , decided subsequent to this appeal, the case is clearly unappealable.
HF (Iraq) and others (Appellants) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) UKSC 2014/0039 HF (Iraq) and others (Appellants) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) UKSC 2014/0040	Lord Kerr Lord Clarke Lord Reed	Refused 15 July 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal. In relation to the point of European Union law said to be raised by or in response to the application it is not necessary to request the Court of Justice to give any ruling, because the grounds are already covered by <i>LA</i> and <i>EM</i>

The Planning Service (Respondent) v Young (Appellant) (Northern Ireland) UKSC 2014/0048	Lord Clarke Lord Reed Lord Carnwath	Refused 7 July 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance; the law is clearly stated by <i>R v Wicks</i> .
---	---	------------------------	---