

## Permission to Appeal results – June 2013

Case name	Justices	PTA	Reasons given
R (on the application of Hodkin and another) (Appellants) <i>v</i> Registrar-General of Births, Deaths and Marriages (Respondent) UKSC 2013/0030	Lord Neuberger Lord Clarke Lord Wilson	Granted 4 June 2013	
R (on the application of McClure) (FC) (Appellant) <i>v</i> The Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis (Respondent) UKSC 2013/0047	Lord Hope Lord Reed Lord Carnwath	Refused 4 June 2013	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
AB (Morocco) (FC) (Appellant) <i>v</i> The Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) UKSC 2013/0044	Lord Hope Lord Reed Lord Carnwath	Refused 6 June 2013	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal. In relation to the point of European Union law raised by or in response to the application it is not necessary to request the Court of Justice to give any ruling because the Court's existing jurisprudence already provides a sufficient answer.
Damion Harrison (Jamaica) (FC) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent) UKSC 2013/0045	Lord Hope Lord Reed Lord Carnwath	Refused 6 June 2013	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal. In relation to the point of European Union law raised by or in response to the application it is not necessary to request the Court of Justice to give any ruling because the Court's existing jurisprudence already provides a sufficient answer.
R <i>v</i> Williams (Appellant) UKSC 2013/0007	Lord Hope Lord Kerr Lord Reed	Refused 6 June 2013	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
In the matter of A (Children) (AP) UKSC 2013/0106	Lady Hale Lord Kerr Lord Hughes	Granted 6 June 2013	
Disclosure and Barring Service (formally Independent Safeguarding Authority) (Respondent) <i>v</i> Harvey (Appellant) UKSC 2013/0089	Lady Hale Lord Kerr Lord Hughes	Refused 6 June 2013	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law. The incompatibility point (all-or-nothing) is of general public importance but it is too late to raise it at this stage.
R (on the application of Children's Rights Alliance	Lady Hale	Refused	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable

for England) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Secretary of State for Justice (Respondent) UKSC 2013/0058	Lord Kerr Lord Hughes	6 June 2013	point of law. Although the points raised are of great interest they do not have a sufficient prospect of success to justify the grant of permission
Aintree University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust (Respondent) <i>v</i> James (AP) (Appellant) UKSC 2013/0134	Lord Neuberger Lady Hale Lord Clarke	Granted 20 June 2013	
R (on the application of McIntosh) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Leicester Magistrates Court (Respondent) UKSC 2013/0075	Lord Hope Lord Kerr Lord Hughes	Refused 26 June 2013	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the points raised in the certified questions are not sufficiently raised by the facts of the case.
R (o the application of Marsden and another) (Appellant) <i>v</i> Leicester Magistrates' Court (Respondent) UKSC 2013/0073	Lord Hope Lord Kerr Lord Hughes	Refused 26 June 2013	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the points raised in the certified questions are not sufficiently raised by the facts of this case.
In the matter of an Application by Hugh Jordan for Judicial Review (Northern Ireland) UKSC 2013/0053	Lord Hope Lord Kerr Lord Hughes	Refused 26 June 2013	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the points raised in the certified questions are not sufficiently raised by the facts of the case.