Permission to Appeal results – January 2014			
Case name	Justices	РТА	Reasons given
Apple Inc (Appellant) <i>v</i> Comptroller – General of Patents (Respondent) UKSC 2013/0128	Lord Neuberger Lord Clarke Lord Carnwath	Refused 9 Jan 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
Apple Inc (Appellant) <i>v</i> Comptroller-General of Patents (Respondent) UKSC 2013/0129			
Novartis AG (Respondent) <i>v</i> Hospira UK Limited (Appellant) UKSC 2013/0144	Lord Neuberger Lord Clarke Lord Carnwath	Refused 9 Jan 2014	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Supreme Court at this time bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal.
R (on the application of Sandiford) (Appellant)	Lord Neuberger Lord Mance	Granted in part	
The Secretary of State for Foreign & Commonwealth Office (Respondent) UKSC 2013/0170	Lord Clarke	9 Jan 2014	
Fish & Fish Limited (Respondent) v	Lord Neuberger	Granted	
Sea Shephard UK (Appellant) UKSC 2013/0133	Lord Clarke Lord Carnwath	9 Jan 2014	
Cravecrest Limited (Appellant) v Trustees of the Will of the Second Duke of Westminster & Another (Respondents)	Lord Neuberger Lord Clarke Lord Carnwath	Granted 9 Jan 2014	
UKSC 2013/0164 Crinion (Appellant) and another <i>v</i>	Lord Neuberger	Refused	Permission to appeal be refused because the applications do not raise an arguable
IG Markets Limited (Respondent) UKSC 2013/0142	Lord Clarke Lord Carnwath	9 Jan 2014	point of law.
Crinion (Appellant) <i>v</i> IG Markets Limited (Respondent) UKSC 2013/0143			
Woolway (Appellant) <i>v</i> Mazars (Respondent) UKSC 2013/0117	Lady Hale Lord Sumption Lord Carnwath	Granted 22 Jan 2014	